arXiv:1406.4744v1 [math.AG] 18 Jun 2014

On continuity of Guo Wuwen function

Zofia Ambroży, Iwo Biborski

June 19, 2014

Abstract

We show that the functions g and g_s introduced by Guo Wuwen in [4] are continuous and semialgebraic. We use this fact to prove that the set $\mathbb{N}_n$ of ordered n-tuples of real numbers, realizable by nonnegative matrices, is a closed set.

1 Introduction

Let

$$\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq} := \{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1},\, i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}.$$

For a matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}(n, n; \mathbb{R})$, we write $A \geq 0$ if A is a nonnegative matrix, i.e. all entries of A are nonnegative. We denote by $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ the spectrum of A.

Definition 1. We say that $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq}$ is realizable if there exists a nonnegative matrix A such that $\mathrm{Spec}(A) = \Lambda$. We denote the set of all realizable Λ by $\mathbb{N}_n$. We denote by $\mathbb{S}_n$ the set of all Λ realizable by a nonnegative symmetric matrix.

The problem of finding conditions on $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq}$, such that $\Lambda \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ($\mathbb{S}_n$) is strictly connected with semialgebraic geometry. Let us recall that semialgebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the smallest class $\mathcal{SA}_n$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

(1) if $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, then

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid P(x) = 0\} \in \mathcal{SA}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | P(x) > 0\} \in \mathcal{SA}_n,$$

(2) if $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_n$, then $A \cap B$, $A \cup B$, $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash A$ are in $\mathcal{SA}_n$.

For basics of semialgebraic geometry we refer reader to ([1], [2], [3]). Now let $A \in M(n, n; \mathbb{R})$ and denote by

$$f_A(\lambda) = (-1)^n\big(\lambda^n + c_1(A)\lambda^{n-1} + \ldots + c_{n-1}(A)\lambda + c_n(A)\big),$$

where $c_i : \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are suitable polynomials, its characteristic polynomial. Let

$$a_i : \mathbb{R}^n \ni (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = (-1)^i \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \ldots \leq j_i \leq n} \lambda_{j_1} \cdots \lambda_{j_i} \in \mathbb{R},$$

2010 MSC. 15A18, 15A29
Key words: matrix theory, nonegative inverse eigenvalue problem, semialgebraic geometry

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. A subset

$$\mathbb{M}_n := \left\{(\lambda, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \mid A \geq 0,\ c_i(A) = a_i(\lambda)\right\}$$

is semialgebraic. Let $\Pi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a projection on first n coordinates. Of course $\mathbb{N}_n = \Pi(\mathbb{M}_n)$. It follows by Tarski-Seidenberg Theorem (cf. [1], Theorem 2.2.1), that the set $\mathbb{N}_n$ is semialgebraic. In a similar way we can show that the set $\mathbb{S}_n$ is semialgebraic.

Definition 2(Guo Wuwen, [4]). We define the following function $g : \mathbb{R}_*^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$g(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ t \geq \max_{i=1,\ldots,n-1} |\lambda_i|,\ \forall_{\delta \geq 0}(t + \delta, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}_n \right\}.$$

We denote by g_s the analogical function for symmetric realization. Guo Wuwen proved, that

$$\forall_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \quad t > g(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \ \Rightarrow\ (t, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}_n,$$

and similar fact for the function g_s.

We will now show that g is a semialgebraic function. Let us recall that a mapping $f : A \longrightarrow B$, where $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ are semialgebraic, is said to be semialgebraic, if its graph

$$graph(f) = \{(x, y) \in A \times B \mid y = f(x)\}$$

is a semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. We define

$$B := \left\{(\lambda, \epsilon, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \mid (\lambda, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}_n,\ \epsilon > 0,\ \lambda_1 = \lambda + \epsilon\right\}.$$

For every $(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq}$ we have

$$\left(\widetilde{\Pi}(B) \backslash \mathbb{N}_n\right) \cap \left(\mathbb{R} \times (\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)\right) = \left(g(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n), \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n\right),$$

where $\widetilde{\Pi} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x, y, z) \longrightarrow z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We get that $graph(g) = \widetilde{\Pi}(B) \backslash \mathbb{N}_n$.

Our purpose is to prove that g and g_s are continuous semialgebraic functions. Then we use this fact to prove that the set of all realizable real spectra is closed. We also consider the following problem: if the spectrum Λ is realizable and all eigenvalues are pairwise different then Λ is symmetrically realizable? The answer is negative. The solution comes from continuity of g and g_s supported with a proper example.

2 Main results.

Let us recall the following

Corollary 1(Guo Wuwen, [4], Corollary 3.2). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that*

(1) $(\lambda_1; \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}_n$,

(2) $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, n$, *and* $\epsilon_1 = \sum_{i=2}^{n} |\epsilon_i|$.

Then

$$(\lambda_1 + \epsilon_1; \lambda_2 + \epsilon_2, \ldots, \lambda_n + \epsilon_n) \in \mathbb{N}_n.$$

We use Corollary 1 to prove the following

Theorem 2. *The function g is continuous.*

Proof. We shall show that g is upper continuous and lower continuous.

Case 1. g is upper continuous. Let $(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Take $(\tilde{\lambda}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\lambda}_n)$ such that

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \sum_{i=2}^{n} |\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i| < \epsilon.$$

By corollary,

$$g(\tilde{\lambda}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\lambda}_n) \leq \lambda_1 + \tilde{\epsilon} \leq \lambda_1 + \epsilon.$$

It follows, that

$$\limsup g(\tilde{\lambda}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\lambda}_n) \leq g(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n).$$

Case 2. g is lower continuous. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and suppose, that

$$\sigma_0 = \liminf_{\delta \to \lambda} g(\tilde{\lambda}) < g(\lambda).$$

There exists $\delta^k \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} |\delta_i^k - \lambda_i| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \text{ and } g(\delta^k) \underset{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \sigma_0.$$

Let $\widetilde{\sigma} = g(\lambda) - \sigma_0$. Take such $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, that for every $k \geq k_0$

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} |\delta_i^k - \lambda_i| \leq \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}}{2}, \quad g(\delta^k) < g(\lambda) - \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad g(\delta^k) + \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}}{2} \geq \max\{|\lambda_i|\}.$$

Let $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 2, \ldots, n$ be such that $\lambda_i - \delta_i^{k_0} = \epsilon_i$. Then

$$(g(\delta^{k_0}), \delta_2^{k_0}, \ldots, \delta_n^{k_0}) \in \mathbb{N}_n,$$

and by corollary

$$(g(\delta^{k_0}) + \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}}{2}, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}_n.$$

But

$$g(\delta^{k_0}) + \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}}{2} < g(\lambda) - \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}}{2} + \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}}{2} = g(\lambda),$$

a contradiction. □

We use a different argument for the function g_s. The reasoning for symmetric realizability is based on a standard fact that for any symmetric matrix A there exist a diagonal matrix D and an orthogonal matrix $U \in O(n)$ such that $U^T DU = A$, where X^T denotes the transpose of a given matrix X and $O(n)$ is the orthogonal group of matrices of dimension n. We have the following

Theorem 3. *The function $g_s : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and $\Lambda_k := (\lambda_{k,2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k,n})$ be the sequence of $(n-1)$-tuples such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \Lambda_k = \Lambda$. Let $t = g_s(\Lambda)$ and $t_k = g_s(\Lambda_k)$. We can divide Λ_k into two subsequences Λ_{k_1} and Λ_{k_2} such that $g_s(\Lambda_{k_1}) > g_s(\Lambda)$ and $g_s(\Lambda_{k_2}) \leq g_s(\Lambda)$. Thus we can just assume that $t_k > t$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ or that $t_k \leq t$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Case 1. Suppose that $t_k > t$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus there exists $U \in O(n)$ such that $A := U^T \mathrm{diag}(t+\varepsilon, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)U$ is a positive matrix. Consider a sequence of matrices $A_k := U^T \mathrm{diag}(t+\varepsilon, \lambda_{k,2}, \ldots \lambda_{k,n})U$. It is clear that $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k = A$, thus there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $k > K$, A_k is a positive matrix. Whence, for $k > K$, $t_k \leq t + \varepsilon$. Finally, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $K \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $t_k \in (t, t+\epsilon]$ and thus $t_k \to t$ while $k \to \infty$.

Case 2. Suppose that $t_k \leq t$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\{t_k\} \subset [0, t]$. There exists a sequence U_k of orthogonal matrices such that

$$U_k^T \mathrm{diag}(t_k, \lambda_{k2}, \ldots, \lambda_{kn})U_k$$

is a nonnegative matrix. Since $\{t_k\} \subset [0, t]$, each subsequence of $\{t_k\}$ has an accumulation point. Suppose that $\tilde{t}$ is an accumulation point of $\{t_k\}$, and $\{t_{k_s}\}$ converges to $\tilde{t}$. Since $O(n)$ is a compact set, thus there exists a convergent subsequence $\{U_{k_\nu}\}$ of $\{U_{k_s}\}$. Let

$$\lim_{k_\nu \to \infty} U_{k_\nu} := U.$$

It is clear that $\{t_{k_\nu}\}$ converges to $\tilde{t}$. Thus

$$A_{k_\nu} := U_{k_\nu}^T \mathrm{diag}(t_{k_\nu}, \lambda_{k_\nu,2}, \ldots \lambda_{k_\nu,n})U_{k_2}$$

form a sequence of nonnegative matrices with limit $A := U^T \mathrm{diag}(\tilde{t}, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)U$. Obviously A is nonnegative as the limit of the sequence of nonnegative matrices, and thus $\tilde{t} \geq t$. Since $\{t_k\} \subset [0, t]$, $\tilde{t} \leq t$ and therefore $\tilde{t} = t$. Finally, each accumulation point of $\{t_k\}$ is equal to t and thus $\lim_{k\to\infty} t_k = t$.

This ends the proof that g_s is a continuous function. □

Corollary 4. *The sets $\mathbb{N}_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n$ are closed semialgebraic sets.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathbb{N}_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n$ are semialgebraic.

Let $\Lambda_k := (\lambda_{k,1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k,n}) \in \mathbb{N}_n$ be the sequence convergent to $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$. Let $\Lambda'_k := (\lambda_{k,2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k,n})$ and $\Lambda' := (\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$. Thus

$$\lambda_{k,1} \geq g(\Lambda'_k).$$

Since $\lambda_{k,1}$ is convergent to λ_1 and g is continuous, thus

$$\lambda_1 \geq g(\Lambda'),$$

and therefore $\Lambda \in \mathbb{N}_n$. Finally $\mathbb{N}_n$ is closed. For $\mathbb{S}_n$ the proof is similar. □

Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) : (0, \epsilon) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_n$ (where $\epsilon > 0$) be a semialgebraic continuous curve, such that γ is bounded. Then $\gamma(0) := \lim_{t \to 0^+} \gamma(t)$ exists and $\gamma(0) \in \mathbb{N}_n$ by Corollary 4 and the fact, that every semialgebraic curve $\alpha : (0, \delta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ (where $\delta > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$) has $\lim_{s \to 0^+} \alpha(s)$. We have the following

Proposition 5. *Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) : (0, \epsilon) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_n$ be a bounded, continuous semialgebraic curve. Then there exists $\widetilde{\gamma} = (\widetilde{\gamma}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n^2+n}) : (0, \epsilon') \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$, where $\epsilon' \leq \epsilon$, such that*

(1) $\widetilde{\gamma}_1 = \gamma_1|_{(0,\epsilon')}, \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_n = \gamma_n|_{(0,\epsilon')}$,

(2) *$\widetilde{\gamma}$ is continuous,*

(3) *$\widetilde{\gamma}(0) := \lim_{t \to 0^+} \widetilde{\gamma}$ exists and $\big(\widetilde{\gamma}_{n+1}(0), \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n+n^2}(0)\big) \in \mathbb{M}_{n,\gamma(0)}$.*

Proof. Let us recall that if $\Lambda \in \mathbb{N}_n$, then there exists $M_\Lambda \in \mathbb{M}_{n,\Lambda}$ such that $e = (1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an eigenvector for $\rho(\Lambda) = \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\{|\lambda|\}$ (cf. [4], Lemma 2.2). In particular, every coefficient of M_Λ is not greater than $\rho(\Lambda)$. Let us define

$$\mathbb{M}_n^\rho := \Big\{ (\Lambda, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \mid (\Lambda, A) \in \mathbb{M}_n,\ Ae = \rho(\Lambda) \Big\}.$$

The set $\mathbb{M}_n^\rho$ is semialgebraic. By the Definable Choice Theorem ([3], Chapter 6, 1.1.2) there exists

$$\widetilde{\gamma} : (0, \epsilon) \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}_n, \quad \text{such that} \quad \widetilde{\gamma}_1 = \gamma_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_n = \gamma_n.$$

Possibly taking $0 < \epsilon' \leq \epsilon$ we may assume, that $\widetilde{\gamma}|_{(0,\epsilon')}$ is continuous. Since γ is bounded, $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is also bounded and there exists $\widetilde{\gamma}(0) := \lim_{t \to 0^+} \widetilde{\gamma}$. By Polynomial Roots Continuity Theorem, $\big(\widetilde{\gamma}_{n+1}(0), \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n+n^2}(0)\big) \in \mathbb{M}_{n,\gamma(0)}$. □

It is known that the real and symmetric nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problems are different for the dimension equal or higher than 5([6]). One can ask if a given realizable spectrum $\Lambda := (\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)$ such that $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$, is symmetrically realizable. The continuity of g and g_s can be used to prove that this assertion is false.

Example 1. Consider the well known example od dimension 5([7], [5], [8]). Put $\Lambda = (3, 3, -2, -2, -2)$. It is known from Perron-Frobenius theory that Λ is not realizable, but Loewy and Hartwig proved that $(4, 3, -2, -2, -2)$ is symmetrically realisable. On the other hand Meehan proved that there exists $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $(3 + t, 3, -2, -2, -2)$ is realizable([8]). Thus $g(\Lambda') \in (3, 4)$ and $g_s(\Lambda') = 4$, where $\Lambda' = (3, -2, -2, -2)$. Let

$$\Lambda'_k := (3 + 1/k, -2 + 1/k, -2 - 2/k, -2 + 3/k), \ \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is clear that Λ'_k converges to Λ'. By Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, $g(\Lambda'_k) < 4$ for sufficiently large k, and $\lim_{k\to\infty} g_s(\Lambda'_k) = 4$. Therefore, by Corollary 1, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $3+t+7/k < 4$, $\sigma := (3+t+7/k, 3+1/k, -2+1/k, -2+2/k, -2+3/k) \in \sigma \in \mathbb{N}_5$, $\sigma \notin \mathbb{S}_5$ and the elements of σ are necessarily pairwise different.

References

[1] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M.-F. Roy. *Real algebraic geometry*. Springer, 1998.

[2] M. Coste. *An introduction to semialgebraic geometry*. Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica, Dip. Univ. Pisa. Instituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali, 2000.

[3] L. van den Dries. *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note 248. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.

[4] Guo Wuwen. *Eigenvalues of nonnegative matrices*. Linear Algebra Appl. **266** (1997), 261-270.

[5] R. Hartwig and R. Loewy. Unpublished (1989)

[6] C.R. Johnson, T.J. Laffey, R. Loewy. *The real and the symmetric nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problems are different*. Proc. of the AMS **124** (1996), 3647-3651.

[7] R.Loewy, J.J. McDonald. *The Symmetric Nonnegative Inverse Eigenvalue Problem for* 5×5 *Matrices*. Linear Algebra Appl. **393** (2004), 275-298

[8] M.E. Meehan. *Some results on matrix spectra*. PhD Thesis, University College Dublin, 1998.